



ISSN: 2230-9926

Available online at <http://www.journalijdr.com>

IJDR

**International Journal of
DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH**

International Journal of Development Research
Vol. 5, Issue, 05, pp. 4286-4293, May, 2015

Full Length Research Article

IMPROVEMENT OF EFFECTIVENESS OF INSTRUCTORS IN UNDERGRADUATE EDUCATION

***Dr. Deniz A. Yazıcıoğlu**

Istanbul Technical University, Faculty of Architecture, Department of Interior Architecture, Taşkışla,
34437 Taksim, Istanbul, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 07th February, 2015
Received in revised form
18th March, 2015
Accepted 20th April, 2015
Published online 25th May, 2015

Key words:

Educational Evaluation,
Course Evaluation Form,
Instructor Evaluation,
Teaching Improvement.

ABSTRACT

There are numerous different approaches for measuring and improving the quality in undergraduate education. "Educational Evaluation Systems" is one of the most significant one of the cited approaches. The most important components which affect the quality of education in "Educational Evaluation Systems" are considered to be the education itself, the students and the instructors. The purview of this study is limited to "instructors", which is one of the foregoing components, with an eye to achieve more accurate results. When the resources as to evaluation of instructors and improvement of effectiveness thereof are researched, it has been observed that they basically contain findings and recommendations that emphasize the importance and use of the data as to course evaluation forms. The objective of the study, in this context, has been determined as the creation of an advice list which will help improvement of effectiveness of the instructor before the instructor commences giving course to students. Questions only regarding the evaluation of instructors among the questions within the course evaluation forms utilized in undergraduate education have been determined as the methodology of this study at the first stage in line with the defined purview and objective. In the next stage, these questions were compared in a systematic way and the similar ones were eliminated. An advice list consisting of 116 items which will help to improve the effectiveness of the instructor was created at the latest phase of the study in line with all the information obtained.

Copyright © 2015 Dr. Deniz A. Yazıcıoğlu. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

There are numerous different approaches for measuring and improving the quality in undergraduate education. "Educational Evaluation Systems" is one of the most significant one of the cited approaches. Educational evaluation is a professional activity conducted with an eye to improve education (Wikipedia, 2015). Basically, it is divided into three different categories as evaluation of the education itself, evaluation of the student and evaluation of the instructor. In other words, the most important components affecting the quality of education in this system are considered the training itself, students and instructors. It has been observed as a result of the literature researches carried out that there have been a large number of scientific researches on "Educational Evaluation Systems".

The purview of this study is limited to "instructors", which is one of the foregoing components, with an eye to achieve more accurate results. When the resources as to evaluation of instructors and improvement of effectiveness thereof are researched, it has been observed that they basically contain findings and recommendations that emphasize the importance and use of the data as to course evaluation forms. (Abrami, 2001; American Psychological Association, 2012; Angelo and Cross, 1993; Burke, 1993; Demirbaş and Eroğlu, 2001; Ewell, 1998; Goldschmid, 1978; Gravestock and Gregor-Greenleaf, 2008; Gump, S.E. 2007; Poet et al., 2010; Rogers and Williams, 1999; Marsh and Roche, 1997; Marsh, 1987; Moore and Kuol, 2005; Palmer, 1993; Panitz, 1996; Felder and Brent, 1999; Wilson et al., 2010; University of Stanford, 2007 etc.). For example, Palmer (1993) states that these kinds of data provide a large number of benefits such as determining personal performance issues as well as the best features of the instructors, harmonizing the instructor's performance targets with the objectives of the institution, determining what kind of suggestions the instructor needs, strengthening the relations between the institution and the instructor, making the

***Corresponding author: Dr. Deniz A. Yazıcıoğlu**
Istanbul Technical University, Faculty of Architecture, Department of
Interior Architecture, Taşkışla, 34437 Taksim, Istanbul, Turkey

Determination of Questions as to the Instructor in Course Evaluation Forms and Analysis Thereof

33 course evaluation forms of different sources have been obtained as a result of the literature search (Harvard University, 2015; Stanford University, 2015; Lehigh University, 2015; Gravestock and Gregor-Greenleaf, 2008; Gravic University, 2015; Mount Allison University, 2015; Brandeis University, 2015; Princeton University, 2015; McHill, 2015; Wufoo, 2015; University of Missouri, 2015; Western Washington University, 2015; University of Washington, 2015; Mount Allison University, 2015; Idea, 2015). All the questions in these forms have been examined and only those for evaluation of the instructor have been selected. When questions regarding the evaluation of the instructor were evaluated qualitatively, it was determined that they were divided into two groups in terms of question type as open and closed-ended. When open-ended questions were examined in themselves qualitatively, it was observed that these questions have a structure that will allow identifying the comments and the proposals of the students. When open-ended questions were examined in themselves qualitatively, it has been determined that distributions of them have showed difference by sources although they have been created for the (Lehigh University, 2015; Gravic University, 2015; McHill, 2015; Wufoo, 2015; Western Washington University, 2015;

University of Washington, 2015; Idea, 2015) same purpose and they have not even been included in some sources (Table 1). The closed-ended questions were compared in a systematic way within themselves after the open-ended questions were evaluated and the similar ones were eliminated and a total of 147 questions were obtained. When these questions are examined qualitatively, it was observed that some of them questioned the instructor in detail by stating "the instructor gestured with head or body" as seen in Table 2 and some of them were for obtaining answer in a more general sense by stating "the instructor knew the subject well". When the closed-ended questions for evaluation of the instructor in Table 2 were examined quantitatively, it was observed that distribution of the number of questions by source demonstrated significant differences as seen in Table 3 although they were created for the same purpose.

Creation of the Advice List which will help to Improve the Effectiveness of the Instructor

A total of 155 questions which have been obtained from 33 different course evaluation forms in Tables 1 and 2 have been examined one by one and the ones which can be used in the Advice List have been chosen among them. None of the open-ended questions have been used while 31 closed-ended questions have been as seen in Table 4.

Table 2. Closed-Ended Questions for Evaluation of the Instructor

No	Questions	No	Questions
1	Overall, the instructor was effective in his/her role	75	The instructor distinguished between more important and less important topics
2	The instructor treated students with respect	76	The instructor spoke in a dramatic or expressive way
3	The instructor knew the subject well	77	The instructor spoke at an appropriate volume
4	The instructor was well prepared for the class	78	The instructor spoke at an appropriate pace
5	The instructor stated clearly what was expected of the students	79	The instructor spoke with voice modulation (fluctuates)
6	The instructor presented the material clearly	80	The instructor's English was understandable (e.g., good pronunciation, speed, vocabulary)
7	The instructor's explanations of course goals and objectives were clearly	81	The instructor's contribution to student understanding of concepts and ideas was sufficient
8	The instructor provided clear expectations for all assessed work	82	The instructor's contribution to student learning was sufficient
9	The instructor answered questions clearly and concisely	83	The instructor's contribution to the discussion was good
10	The instructor's demonstrations of techniques were clear and concise	84	The instructor's contribution overall to the course was good
11	The instructor communicated clearly with students	85	The instructor summarized key ideas from a class session
12	The instructor made me feel free to ask questions	86	The instructor summarized results
13	The quality of questions/problems were used by the instructor was good	87	The instructor periodically summarized points previously made
14	The instructor encouraged students for expressing their own ideas and/or question	88	The instructor managed class time effectively
15	The instructor asked questions of individual students	89	The instructor had everything going according to the schedule
16	The instructor asked questions of class as a whole	90	The instructor scheduled course work (class activities, tests, projects) in ways which encouraged students to stay up-to-date in their work.
17	The instructor asked rhetorical questions	91	The instructor followed the published course outline
18	The instructor stuck to the point in answering students' questions	92	The instructor was well organized the course
19	The instructor provided sample exam questions	93	The instructor's record for coming to class on time was good
20	The instructor used graphs or diagrams to facilitate explanation	94	The instructor structured class discussions
21	The instructor was good at alternative explanations	95	The instructor's guidance as a discussion leader was good
22	The instructor encouraged students to interest in the course content	96	The instructor formed teams or discussion groups to facilitate learning
23	The instructor encouraged students to participate	97	The instructor was skillful in developing classroom discussion
24	The instructor encouraged students to develop and express their own ideas	98	The instructor promoted meaningful discussions issues of diversity
25	The instructor encouraged students to develop and improve their skills	99	The instructor challenged stereotypic discussions
26	The instructor encouraged students to develop and use their creativity	100	The instructor raises challenging questions for discussion
27	The instructor encouraged students' self-expression	101	The instructor provided adequate opportunities for questions and discussion during class time
28	The instructor encouraged group collaboration/peer to peer learning	102	The instructor stimulated students thinking, learning and development

.....Continue

29	The instructor encouraged students to use multiple resources (e.g. data banks, library holdings, outside experts) to improve understanding	103	The instructor's support for student/teacher partnership in learning was good
30	The instructor encouraged constructive criticism	104	The instructor maintained controls of the class
31	The instructor announced availability for consultation outside of class	105	The instructor effectively managed unexpected problems
32	The instructor was adequately accessible to students during office hours or after class	106	The instructor managed student classroom behaviour well
33	The instructor encouraged student-faculty interaction outside of class (office visits, phone calls, e-mail, etc.)	107	The instructor's evaluation of student performance was related to important course goals
34	The instructor was available for conferences with students	108	The instructor graded in a consistent and systematic way
35	The instructor was positive for interacting with students	109	The instructor explained the reasons for criticism of students' academic performance
36	The instructor prepared and carefully explained the course materials and syllabus well	110	The instructor's answer keys and/or individual comments were sufficiently detailed to help me learn
37	The instructor explained how each topic fits into the course as a whole	111	The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching
38	Textbooks and other instructional material were effectively used by the instructor	112	The instructor taught effectively considering both the possibilities and limitations of the subject matter and the course
39	The instructor related course material to real life situations	113	The instructor's recognition of student progress was good
40	The instructor effectively used teaching methods	114	The instructor's monitoring of skill acquisition was good
41	The instructor gave good examples of the concepts	115	The instructor's ability to break skills into meaningful components was good
42	The instructor used good illustrations	116	The instructor's awareness of student comprehension was sufficient
43	The instructor's handouts, lectures, or postings was useful	117	The instructor created an atmosphere of engagement
44	The instructional technology was well coordinated with course materials	118	The instructor demonstrated the importance and significance of subject matter
45	The instructor used technology in ways that helped my learning of concepts and principles	119	The instructor stimulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required by most courses
46	The instructor adequately prepared the class to use the required technologies	120	The instructor introduced stimulating ideas about the subject
47	The instructor never intimidated or embarrassed students	121	The instructor involved students in "hand on" projects such as research, case studies of real life activities
48	The instructor made eye contact with students	122	The instructor repeated difficult ideas several times
49	The instructor made me feel valued in the class	123	The instructor incorporated students' ideas into lecture
50	The instructor showed distracting mannerisms	124	The instructor presented challenging, thought-provoking ideas
51	The instructor tried to learn students' names	125	The instructor used a variety of activities in class (e.g., group work, guest lecturers, etc.)
52	The instructor was friendly	126	The instructor used headings and subheadings to organize lectures
53	The instructor praised students for good ideas	127	The instructor was skillful in observing student reaction
54	The instructor was permissive and flexible	128	The instructor put outline of lecture on blackboard or overhead screen
55	The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class	129	The instructor digressed from major theme of lecture
56	The instructor was sensitive to students when giving critiques.	130	The instructor identified specific areas in which I need improvement
57	The instructor had a genuine interest in individual students	131	The instructor reminded students of test dates or assignment deadlines
58	The instructor moved about while lecturing	132	The instructor related to students in ways that promoted mutual respect
59	The instructor gestured with head or body	133	The instructor showed sensitivity to the needs and interests of students from diverse groups
60	The instructor's conduct was never inappropriately influenced by students' personal characteristics, such as gender, ethnicity, cultural background or sexual orientation	134	The instructor in this course showed a genuine concern for students.
61	The instructor told jokes or humorous anecdotes	135	The instructor treated students with fairness and respect
62	The instructor smiled or laughed while teaching	136	The instructor adapted to student abilities, interests and needs
63	The instructor returned my work in a reasonable time	137	The instructor taught near the class level
64	The instructor provided timely and frequent feedback on tests, reports, projects, etc. to help students improve	138	The instructor stated objectives of each lecture
65	The instructor was helpful when students were confused	139	The instructor was able to diagnose technical problems
66	The instructor offered to help students with course-related problems	140	The instructor handled controversy in the classroom productively
67	The instructor helped me to improve my skills	141	The instructor valued my creativity and/or originality
68	The instructor asked if students understand before proceeding to next topic	142	The instructor set high but attainable expectations for this course
69	The instructor's ability to deal with student difficulties was good	143	The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching
70	The instructor gave tests, projects, etc. that covered the most important points of the course	144	The instructor kept students informed of their progress
71	The instructor advised students as to how to prepare for tests or exams	145	The instructor demonstrated the techniques student expected to develop
72	The instructor stressed the most important points	146	The instructor explained subject matter in familiar conversational language
73	The instructor clarified fundamental points	147	The instructor wrote lecture verbatim from prepared notes or text
74	The instructor showed tolerance of other points of view		

Table 3. Distribution of closed-ended questions by resources for Evaluation of the Instructor

References		References	
Question No	References	Question No	References
1	Harvard University	75	Harvard University
2	Stanford University	76	Stanford University
3	Lehigh University	77	Lehigh University
4	Gravac University	78	Gravac University
5	Mount Allison University	79	Mount Allison University
6	Brandeis University	80	Brandeis University
7	Princeton University	81	Princeton University
8	McHill	82	McHill
9	Wufoo	83	Wufoo
10	University of Missouri	84	University of Missouri
11	Western Washington University	85	Western Washington University
12	UW-Small Lecture / Discussion	86	UW-Small Lecture / Discussion
13	UW-Lectures/Assignments	87	UW-Lectures/Assignments
14	UW-Lab	88	UW-Lab
15	UW-Distance Learning	89	UW-Distance Learning
16	UW-Project/Studio	90	UW-Project/Studio
17	UW-English as a Second L.	91	UW-English as a Second L.
18	UW-Study Abroad	92	UW-Study Abroad
19	UW-Educational Outcomes	93	UW-Educational Outcomes
20	Mt. Allison University	94	Mt. Allison University
21	Idea-Improving Learning in H.I.	95	Idea-Improving Learning in H.I.
22	Wilfred Laurier University	96	Wilfred Laurier University
23	Dalhousie University	97	Dalhousie University
24	Humber College	98	Humber College
25	McGill University-Sample Institutional E.I.	99	McGill University-Sample Institutional E.I.
26	Queen's University	100	Queen's University
27	Ryerson University	101	Ryerson University
28	Seneca College	102	Seneca College
29	University of Alberta	103	University of Alberta
30	University of Minnesota	104	University of Minnesota
31	University of Ontario Institute of Tech.	105	University of Ontario Institute of Tech.
32	McGill University-Ins.Doc.R.C.E.	106	McGill University-Ins.Doc.R.C.E.
33	University of Michigan	107	University of Michigan
34	Harvard University	108	Harvard University
35	Stanford University	109	Stanford University
36	Lehigh University	110	Lehigh University
37	Gravac University	111	Gravac University
38	Mount Allison University	112	Mount Allison University
39	Brandeis University	113	Brandeis University
40	Princeton University	114	Princeton University
41	McHill	115	McHill
42	Wufoo	116	Wufoo
43	University of Missouri	117	University of Missouri
44	Western Washington University	118	Western Washington University
45	UW-Small Lecture / Discussion	119	UW-Small Lecture / Discussion
46	UW-Lectures/Assignments	120	UW-Lectures/Assignments
47	UW-Lab	121	UW-Lab
48	UW-Distance Learning	122	UW-Distance Learning
49	UW-Project/Studio	123	UW-Project/Studio
50	UW-English as a Second L.	124	UW-English as a Second L.
51	UW-Study Abroad	125	UW-Study Abroad
52	UW-Educational Outcomes	126	UW-Educational Outcomes
53	Mt. Allison University	127	Mt. Allison University
54	Idea-Improving Learning in H.I.	128	Idea-Improving Learning in H.I.
55	Wilfred Laurier University	129	Wilfred Laurier University
56	Dalhousie University	130	Dalhousie University
57	Humber College	131	Humber College
58	McGill University-Sample Institutional E.I.	132	McGill University-Sample Institutional E.I.
59	Queen's University	133	Queen's University
60	Ryerson University	134	Ryerson University
61	Seneca College	135	Seneca College
62	University of Alberta	136	University of Alberta
63	University of Minnesota	137	University of Minnesota
64	University of Ontario Institute of Tech.	138	University of Ontario Institute of Tech.
65	McGill University-Ins.Doc.R.C.E.	139	McGill University-Ins.Doc.R.C.E.
66	University of Michigan	140	University of Michigan
67	Harvard University	141	Harvard University
68	Stanford University	142	Stanford University
69	Lehigh University	143	Lehigh University
70	Gravac University	144	Gravac University
71	Mount Allison University	145	Mount Allison University
72	Brandeis University	146	Brandeis University
73	Princeton University	147	Princeton University
74	McHill		McHill

Table 4. Advice List Describing the Features an Instructor Should Have

Advice List	
The instructor should state clearly what is expected of the students	The instructor should clarify fundamental points
The instructor should present the material clearly	The instructor should show tolerance of other points of view
The instructor should answer questions clearly and concisely	The instructor should speak at an appropriate pace
The instructor should make students feel free to ask questions	The instructor should speak with voice modulation (fluctuates)
The instructor should communicate clearly with students	The instructor should summarize key ideas from a class session
The instructor should ask rhetorical questions	The instructor should summarize results
The instructor should provide sample exam questions	The instructor should speak at an appropriate volume
The instructor should be good at alternative explanations	The instructor should manage class time effectively
The instructor should encourage students to participate	The instructor should follow the published course outline
The instructor should encourage constructive criticism	The instructor's record for coming to class on time should be good
The instructor should be available for conferences with students	The instructor should structure class discussions
The instructor should be positive for interacting with students	The instructor's guidance as a discussion leader should be good
The instructor should give good examples of the concepts	The instructor should effectively manage unexpected problems
The instructor should use good illustrations	The instructor should grade in a consistent and systematic way
The instructor should relate course material to real life situations	The instructor's recognition of student progress should be good
The instructor's handouts, lectures, or postings should be useful	The instructor's monitoring of skill acquisition should be good
The instructor should make students feel valued in the class	The instructor should repeat difficult ideas several times
The instructor should never intimidate or embarrass students	The instructor should incorporate students' ideas into lecture
The instructor should make eye contact with students	The instructor should treat students with fairness and respect
The instructor should learn students' names	The instructor should adapt to student abilities, interests and needs
The instructor should tell jokes or humorous anecdotes	The instructor should teach near the class level
The instructor should smile or laugh while teaching	The instructor should state objectives of each lecture
The instructor should return students' works in a reasonable time	The instructor should be able to diagnose technical problems
The instructor should be helpful when students were confused	The instructor should value student's creativity and/or originality
The instructor should praise students for good ideas	The instructor should raise challenging questions for discussion
The instructor should be permissive and flexible	The instructor should be friendly
The instructor should be sensitive to students when giving critiques.	The instructor shouldn't show distracting mannerisms
The instructor should have a genuine interest in individual students	The instructor should keep students informed of their progress
The instructor should encourage students' self-expression	The instructor should introduce stimulating ideas about the subject
The instructor should help students to improve my skills	The instructor should be skillful in observing student reaction
The instructor shouldn't digress from major theme of lecture	The instructor should gesture with head or body
The instructor should use graphs-diagrams to facilitate explanation	The instructor should present challenging, thought-provoking ideas
The instructor should be adequately accessible to students during office hours or after class	The instructor should involve students in "hand on" projects such as research, case studies of real life activities
The instructor should encourage student-faculty interaction outside of class (office visits, phone calls, e-mail, etc.)	The instructor's English should be understandable (e.g., good pronunciation, speed, vocabulary)
The instructor should stress the most important points	The instructor should encourage students to improve their skills
The instructor's explanations of course goals and objectives should be clear	The instructor should distinguish between more important and less important topics
The instructor should provide clear expectations for all assessed work	The instructor should periodically summarize points previously made
The instructor's demonstrations of techniques should be clear and concise	The quality of questions/problems is used by the instructor should be good
The instructor should encourage students to develop and express their own ideas	The instructor should form teams or discussion groups to facilitate learning
The instructor should encourage group collaboration/peer to peer learning	The instructor should promote meaningful discussions issues of diversity
The instructor should encourage students to develop and use their creativity	The instructor should stimulate students thinking, learning and development
The instructor should explain how each topic fits into the course as a whole	The instructor's ability to break skills into meaningful components should be good
The instructor should remind students of test dates or assignment deadlines	The instructor's awareness of student comprehension should be sufficient
The instructor should write lecture verbatim from prepared notes or text	The instructor should demonstrate the importance and significance of subject matter
The instructor should advise students as to how to prepare for tests or exams	The instructor should relate to students in ways that promoted mutual respect
The instructor should provide timely and frequent feedback on tests, reports, projects, etc.to help students improve	The instructor should use headings and subheadings to organize lectures
The instructor should encourage students for expressing their own ideas and/or question	The instructor should put outline of lecture on blackboard or overhead screen
The instructor should handle controversy in the classroom productively	The instructor should identify specific areas in which students need improvement
The instructor should demonstrate the techniques student expected to develop	The instructor should offer to help students with course-related problems
The instructor should give tests, projects, etc. that covered the most important points of the course	The instructor should ask if students understand before proceeding to next topic
Textbooks and other instructional material should be effectively used by the instructor	The instructor should set high but attainable expectations for this course
The instructor should prepare and carefully explain the course materials and syllabus	The instructor's contribution to student understanding of concepts and ideas should be sufficient
The instructor should use technology in ways that helps students' learning of concepts and principles	The instructor should provide adequate opportunities for questions and discussion during class time

.....Continue

The instructor should show sensitivity to the needs and interests of students from diverse groups
 The instructor should use a variety of activities in class (e.g., group work, guest lecturers, etc.)
 The instructor should encourage students to use multiple resources (e.g. data banks, library holdings, outside experts) to improve understanding
 The instructor should explain subject matter in familiar conversational language
 The instructor's conduct should never be inappropriately influenced by students' personal characteristics, such as gender, ethnicity, cultural background or sexual orientation

The instructor's evaluation of student performance should be related to important course goals
 The instructor should explain the reasons for criticism of students' academic performance
 The instructor should schedule course work (class activities, tests, projects) in ways which encouraged students to stay up-to-date in their work.
 The instructor should teach effectively considering both the possibilities and limitations of the subject matter and the course
 The instructor's answer keys and/or individual comments should be sufficiently detailed to help students' learn

All the criteria for measuring the success of the instructor in 33 course educational evaluation forms, obtained by literature research from different sources and Harvard University, Stanford University, Lehigh University, Bastion University, Mount Allison University, Brandeis University, Princeton University, etc. as well as various educational institutions, have been brought together thanks to this Advice List consisting of 116 items. The mentioned criteria constitutes an important guide for the instructor to determine the weak and strong sides thereof and from which aspects the instructor has to improve himself.

Conclusions

Advice List developed within the purview of the study will help the instructors in improving of effectiveness thereof before commencing to give course to students. When the instructor examines this list, he will be able to improve himself in line with the 116 recommendations such as establishing eye contact with the students while giving the course, smiling while talking, learn the names of the students, making jokes to attract attention during the course and being clear and understandable.

REFERENCES

- Abrami, P.C. 2001. Improving judgments about teaching effectiveness using teacher rating forms. In M. Theall, P.C. Abrami, and L.A. Mets (Eds.). *The student ratings debate: Are they valid? How can we best use them?* [Special issue]. *New Directions for Institutional Research* 109: 59-87.
- American Psychological Association, 2012. *Effective Evaluation of Teaching: A Guide for Faculty and Administrators*.
- Angelo, T.A. and K.P. Cross, 1993. *Classroom assessment techniques: A handbook for college teachers*, 2d ed. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Brandeis University, 2015. *Course Structure and Grading*, 14.03.2015, <http://www.brandeis.edu/provost/faculty-info/courseevaluations/images/INS2.png>
- Burke, K. 1993. *The mindful school: How to assess thoughtful outcomes*, Palatine, IL: IRI/Skylight Publishing.
- Ewell, P.T. 1998. National trends in assessing student learning, *J. Engr. Education* 87, no. 2:107-113.
- Demirbaş, A. and Eroğlu, E. 2001. *Öğretmenlerin Performans Değerlendirmeleri ve Sicil Raporları*, T.C. Milli Eğitim Bakanlığı Eğitim Araştırma ve Geliştirme Dairesi Başkanlığı, Milli Eğitim Yayınevi, Ankara.
- Felder, R.M. and Brent, R. 1999. How to Improve Teaching Quality, *Quality Management Journal*, 6(2): 9-21.
- Goldschmid, M.L. 1978. The evaluation and improvement of teaching in higher education, *Higher Education*, 7(2): 221-245.
- Gravestock, P. and Gregor-Greenleaf, E. 2008. *Student Course Evaluations: Research, Models and Trends*, Toronto: Higher Education Quality Council of Ontario.
- Gravic University, 2015. *Course Evaluation*, 14.03.2015, http://www.gravic.com/remark/higher-ed/course_evaluations/Course%20Evaluation.pdf.
- Gump, S.E. 2007. Student evaluations of teaching effectiveness and the leniency hypothesis: A literature review. *Educational Research Quarterly*, 30(3): 55-68
- Harvard University, 2015. *Course Evaluation Form*, 10.03.2015, <http://www.extension.harvard.edu/course-evaluations/sample-evaluation-form>.
- Kite, M.E. 2012. *Effective Evaluation of Teaching*, 28.03.2015, <http://teachpsych.org/Resources/Documents/ebooks/evals2012.pdf>
- Lehigh University, 2015. *Lehigh University Course Evaluations for Fall 2013*, 12.03.2015, http://www.lehigh.edu/~oir/course_eval_docs/CourseEvaluationForm.pdf.
- Marsh, H.W. 1987. Students' evaluations of university teaching: Research findings, methodological issues, and directions for future research. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 11: 253-388.
- Marsh, H. W. and Roche, L. A. 1997. Making students' evaluations of teaching effectiveness effective: The critical issues of validity, bias, and utility. *American Psychologist*, 52, 1187-1197.
- McHill, 2015. *Comments Analysis Worksheet*, 22.03.2015, <http://www.mcgill.ca/tls/teaching/course-evaluations/mid-course-evaluations>.
- Moore, S. and Kuol, N. 2005. A punitive tool or a valuable resource? Using student evaluations to enhance your teaching. In G. O'Neill, S. Moore, & B. McMulline (Eds.), *Emerging issues in the practice of university learning and teaching* (pp. 141-148). Dublin: All Ireland Society for Higher Education.
- Mount Allison University, 2015. *Course Evaluation Form*, 14.03.2015, http://www.mta.ca/uploadedFiles/Community/Academics/PCTC/Teaching_Evaluations/scantron_evaluation_form.pdf
- Palmer, J.M. 1993. *Performans Değerlendirmeleri*, Rota Yayınları, İstanbul.
- Panitz, B. 1996. The student portfolio: A powerful assessment tool. *ASEE Prism* 5(7): 24-29.
- Poet, H., Rudd, P. and Smith, R. 2010. *How teachers approach practice improvement*, National Foundation for Educational Research.

- Princeton University, 2015. Mid-Semester Course Evaluations, <http://www.princeton.edu/mcgraw/library/faculty/midcourseevals/index.xml>
- Rogers, G. M. and Williams, J. 1999. Building a Better Portfolio, *ASEE Prism* 8(5): 30-32.
- Stanford University, 2015. Course and Section Evaluations, 12.03.2015, https://studentaffairs.stanford.edu/sites/default/files/registrar/files/sample_crse_eval.pdf.
- University of Missouri, 2015. Course Evaluation Form, 23.03.2015, <http://www.arc.missouri.edu/PDFs/CourseEval/Form%20-EXPANDED.pdf>.
- University of Scranton, 2007. University of Scranton Guide to the Student Course Evaluation Survey- Center for Teaching & Learning Excellence, 22.03.2015, https://www.scranton.edu/academics/ctle/oce/GUIDE_TO_COURSE_SURVEY.PDF.
- University of Stanford. 2007. Guide to the Student Course Evaluation Survey Center for Teaching and Learning Excellence, University of Stanford.
- University of Washington, 2015. IA System Forms, 12.03.2015, http://www.washington.edu/oea/services/course_eval/forms/.
- Western Washington University, 2015. Registrar's Office, 12.03.2015, <http://www.wvu.edu/registrar/forma.shtml>
- Wikipedia.2015. Educational Evaluation, 12.03.2015, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Educational_evaluation.
- Wilson, J. H., Ryan, R. G. and Pugh, J. L. 2010. Professor-student rapport scale predicts student outcomes. *Teaching of Psychology*, 37(4): 246-251.
- Wufoo, 2015. University/School: Course Evaluation, 23.03.2015, <http://www.wufoo.com/gallery/templates/surveys/universityschool-course-evaluation/>
